And I Thought Electrodiagnosis of Ulnar Neuropathies was Easy!



Kathleen Galloway MPT, DSc, ECS Belmont University Ed Schrank, MPT, DSc, ECS Shenandoah University



Credit to:

Omejic & Podnar: Proposal for electrodiagnostic evaluation of patients with ulnar neuropathy at the elbow Mark E. Landau, William W. Campbell: Clinical Features and Electrodiagnosis of Ulnar Neuropathies

Speaker Bios

- Dr. Ed Schrank
- Dr. Kathleen Galloway
- Dr. Todd Telemeco pinch hitting for Dr. Galloway



DOCTOR OF PHYSICAL THERAPY

Bibliography

Go to: www.Zotero.org Search: Ulnar Neuropathy CSM 2018

You can download the citation list to your own Zotero library.

Or follow this link: https://www.zotero.org/groups/2074454/ulnar_neuropathy_csm_2018

Learning Objectives

- At the conclusion of this session, the attendee should be able to:
- 1. List the 4 locations of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE) and know the two most prevalent locations.
- 2. Understand the anatomic factors that account for susceptibility of the ulnar nerve to injury at the elbow.
- 3. List the recommendations of the AAEM practice parameter on UNE.
- 4. Describe one technique learned today to improve your diagnostic accuracy on UNE.

Introduction

- UNE????
- Second most frequent UE compression neuropathy
- Incidence rate
 - 20.9 cases/100,000 persons/yr

Surgeons: Fear the Ulnar Nerve



Roadmap

- Part 1
 - Anatomy review
 - Clinical features
 - Terminology
 - Etiology
- Part 2
 - AANEM Practice Parameter
 - The 2 x 4cm inching study
 - Fatal flaws
 - Cases
 - Suggested Approach



Ulnar nerve anatomy review

Course in the Proximal Arm

- Derived from medial cord of brachial plexus
- Travels medial to the brachial artery in the arm



- Middle 1/3 of the arm pierces the medial intermuscular septum (IMS)
- 1st potential site of entrapment
 - so called Arcade of Struthers



Note: Aponeuorsis (residuum of epitrochleoanconeus muscle) over the ulnar nerve at the elbow was released.

https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0562.jpg https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0569.jpg

- Arcade of Struthers
 - Type I Arcade: Thickening of the brachial fascia
 - Type II Arcade: Internal brachial ligament
 - Type III Arcade: Thickened IMS



Course at the Elbow

- Passes posterior to the medial epicondyle in the retro-epicondylar groove
 - 2nd potential site of entrapment
 - Site of subluxation



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0500.jpg

Course at the Elbow

- Passes beneath Osborne's ligament
- Passes between the two heads of the flexor carpi ulnaris (FCU) beneath the Humeroulnar arcade (HUA) – Also known as Osborne's fascia
 - Typically occurs 1-2.5 cm distal to the medial epicondyle
 - 3rd potential site of entrapment



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0500.jpg



Elbow flexion effect on ulnar nerve in the ulnar groove

- Olecranon process moves away from medial epicondyle
- Two heads of FCU origin are pulled apart
 - Humeral head of FCU attached to medial epicondyle while the ulnar head is attached to the olecranon causes tightening of HUA
 - Increases pressure on ulnar nerve in groove to up to 19 mmHg

- Ulnar collateral lig bulges into the floor of the ulnar groove
- Medial head of triceps may also be pulled into the ulnar groove
- Nerve may sublux in full flexion even in normals



https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/7/7f/Anatomy_of_the_ulnar_collateral_ligament_in_the_pitcher%27s_elbow.png

Elbow extension effect on ulnar nerve in the ulnar groove

- Elbow extension
 - Medial epicondyle and olecranon are approximated
 - Humeroulnar arcade is in slack
 - Ulnar nerve is in slack in the groove



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0480.jpg



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0586.jpg

Course through the forearm

- Travels through the *flexor-pronator aponeurosis* and the *ligament of Spinner*
- 4th potential site of entrapment



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0486.jpg

Ulnar nerve branches in forearm

- Motor
 - Flexor carpi Ulnaris (occurs beneath the HUA)
 - (C(7),8,T1)
 - Flexor digitorum profundus
 - 4^{th} and 5^{th} digits
 - (C8, T1)

- Sensory
 - Dorsal branch (DUC) exits ulnar nerve proper approx 10 cm proximal to wrist supplying dorsal medial hand
 - Palmar ulnar cutaneous (PUC) branch separates from main branch in mid/distal forearm
 - Passes into hand superficial to Guyon's canal
 - Sensory to hypothenar skin

Dorsal ulnar cutaneous branch in forearm



Palmar ulnar cutaneous branch in forearm



Course in the hand

- Ulnar nerve proper enters hand through Guyon's canal
- Transverse Carpal ligament forms the roof of the carpal tunnel and the floor of Guyon's canal



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Median-Nerve---IMG_3272.jpg



- Volar carpal ligament, and pisohamate ligament form the roof of Guyon's Canal
 - 5th site of potential entrapment

Ulnar nerve at hand divides into two branches

Superficial branch

• Sensation to small finger and medial half of ring finger

Deep branch

- Motor supply to:
 - Hypothenar (ADM, FDM, ODM)
 - Lumbricals 3 and 4
 - Palmar/dorsal interossei
 - Adductor pollicis
 - Deep head FPB



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Median-Nerve---IMG_3299.jpg

Deep branch



Anatomic Variations- common for ulnar and median

nerve

- Ulnar nerve at forearm communicates with median
 - Median fibers in forearm cross and travel with ulnar nerve usually 3-10 cm distal to medial epicondyle(Uchida)
 - Median/AIN fibers can supply "ulnar" intrinsics so that ulnar nerve compression at elbow can spare intrinsics (Uchida, Cavalheiro)
- Martin Gruber anastomosis –as 15-30% prevalence (Liebovic)



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4812040/bin/gr3.jpg

Anatomic variations

- Ulnar nerve formation from medial and lateral cords
 - Identified in 2/50 dissections

Anitha Guru, et al., "Anatomical Study of the Ulnar Nerve Variations at High Humeral Level and Their Possible Clinical and Diagnostic Implications," Anatomy Research International, vol. 2015, Article ID 378063, 4 pages, 2015. doi:10.1155/2015/378063



Anatomic variations

Communicating branch

 Medial cutaneous nerve of forearm to ulnar communication reported by Anitha et al 2015



Anitha Guru, et al., "Anatomical Study of the Ulnar Nerve Variations at High Humeral Level and Their Possible Clinical and Diagnostic Implications," Anatomy Research International, vol. 2015, Article ID 378063, 4 pages, 2015. doi:10.1155/2015/378063 Medial cutaneous nerve of forearm

Anatomic Variations

 Radial nerve to ulnar nerve communication



Anitha Guru, et al., "Anatomical Study of the Ulnar Nerve Variations at High Humeral Level and Their Possible Clinical and Diagnostic Implications," Anatomy Research International, vol. 2015, Article ID 378063, 4 pages, 2015. doi:10.1155/2015/378063

Ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE)

- Anatomic factors
 - May have presence of anconeus epitrochlearis muscle
 - Variable presence (up to 30%)
 - Originates posterior medial epicondyle covers ulnar nerve and attaches at olecranon
 - Ulnar innervated
 - Osbornes Band/lig is vestigial form prevalence is up to 77%

https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0480.jpg

- Lack of protective covering at elbow so more susceptible to pressure
 - Lack of Osborne's band and anconeus epitrochlearis may lead to subluxation



UNE

• May have

compression due to enlarged or separate medial head of the triceps in the area proximal to the elbow



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0569.jpg

UNE

 Valgus deformity and internal derangement of the elbow related to trauma make nerve more vulnerable



https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=images&cd=&ved=0ahUKEwjeoK7o6cvYAhXN61MKHagfCzEQjRwIBw&url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fwiki %2FCubitus valgus&psig=AOvVaw2kXWMgf6fY N4VIm7d8pkt&ust=1515619771990025

UNE- location

- Areas of compression:
 - Medial IMS
 - Retroepicondylar groove
 - Humeroulnar arcade (HUA)
 - Exit point from flexor carpi ulnaris
 - Guyon's canal



https://nervesurgery.wustl.edu/NerveImages/Anatomy%20and%20Physiology/AP-Ulnar-Nerve---IMG_0500.jpg

Internal architecture of ulnar nerve

- Fascicular arrangement
 - Fibers going to FCU, PUC and DUC lie is separate fascicles at the elbow in a deep dorsolateral location therefore less likely to be involved in UNE
- This makes UNE hard to differentiate from ulnar neuropathy at the wrist (UNW) based on order of innervation

Clinical Features

- May have numbress and tingling in ulnar distribution intermittently worse in elbow flexion or at night
- Sensory complaints often not significant in contrast with CTS
- Often no complaints of pain
- Develop gradual motor loss over months to years (Vanderpool)

• Elbow pain is more likely to be present in focal trauma

Clinical features ulnar neuropathy-sensory complaints

- Splitting sensation at ring finger typically indicates ulnar nerve injury
- Brachial plexopathy and C8 radiculopathy typically involve small finger and either all of the ring finger or spare the ring finger



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Gray812and814.svg
Clinical Features Ulnar Neuropathy Sensory

- Sensory complaints in forearm
 - Indicates proximal pathology ie. plexus or higher
- UNE
 - Mostly involve digits and spare
 DUC and PUC





Ulnar neuropathy motor features

- Froment sign
 - weakness of adductor pollicis and first dorsal interosseous



https://www.google.com/search?hl=en&biw=780&bih=362&tbm=isch&sa=1&ei=n5SPWvWXJcfusQXQorT4Bg&q=froment+sign&oq= Froment&gs_l=psy-ab.1.0.0l10.548524.549360.0.551366.7.6.0.1.1.0.79.400.6.6.0....0...1c.1.64.psyab..0.7.409...0i67k1.0.wCp1D84aUM8#imgrc=FJDUwSMUiuhorM:

Clinical tests for Ulnar neuropathy

- Elbow flexion and Tinel's may produce false positives
 - Should flex at elbow and extend at wrists X 3 min(Buehler et al 1988)
- FCU and FDP are frequently spared in UNE
 - FCU branches don't typically arise proximal to the elbow, just spared due to position/fascicular arrangement at elbow (2, Campbell 1991)



https://i.ytimg.com/vi/VeQIS2dB3Zc/maxresdefault.jpg

Clinical tests for ulnar neuropathy

- Jeanne's Sign
 - Loss of AP and Deep head of FPB
- Pollock's Sign
 - Inability to flex 4^{th} and 5^{th} digits at DIP
- Wartenbergs sign
 - Weakness of 3rd palmar interosseous
 - Small finger remains abducted/unable to adduct



https://image.slidesharecdn.com/ph3approachtohandconditions 5-160812132424/95/approach-to-hand-conditions - 42-638.jpg?cb = 1471008314

Clinical tests to evaluate ulnar nerve

- Need to screen for proximal pathology to include C8-T1 radiculopathy
 - Muscle test other C8-T1 muscles not ulnar innervated



https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/9/93/Grant_1962_663.j ng/1024px-Grant_1962_663.png

Ulnar neuropathy at the elbow risk factors

- Smoking was related to ulnar neuropathy at the elbow with an adjusted odds ratio of 4.31 (Frost et al 2013, Bartels 2007)
- Male gender
- Heavy work/manual labor
- Diabetes
- Hypothyroid
- CTS related to UNW
- Increased age

(Kiylioglu 2011, Bartels et al 2007, Bartels et al 1998)



Break!!

Roadmap

- Intro
- Part 1
 - Anatomy review
 - Clinical features
 - Terminology
 - Etiology
- Part 2
 - AANEM Practice Parameter
 - The 2 x 4cm inching study
 - Fatal flaws
 - Cases
 - Suggested Approach



Terminology

- "Nosologic Quagmire"
- Tardy Ulnar Palsy
 - Panas, 1878
 - Degenerated into nonspecific term for any UNE
- HUA
 - 1916 Buzzard/Sargent
- Cubital Tunnel Syndrome
 - 1950's Osbourne/Fiendel/Stratford
 - Also degenerated into non-specific term
 - Not original authors intent!
- Preferred term: UNE

Dictionary

nosology

no·sol·o·gy

/nōˈsäləjē/ 🐠

noun

the branch of medical science dealing with the classification of diseases.

Q

Can J Surg. 1958 Jul;1(4):287-300.

The role of the cubital tunnel in tardy ulnar palsy.

FEINDEL W, STRATFORD J.

PMID: 13547000

[Indexed for MEDLINE]

Etiology

- 4 Locations
 - 1. MIS
 - 2. RTC groove
 - 85%/69%/62%
 - 3. HUA

Clin Neurophysiol. 2015 Dec;126(12):2390-6. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2015.01.023. Epub 2015 Feb 14.

Precise localization of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow.

Omejec G1, Podnar S2.

Format: Abstract +

Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 1988 Nov;69(11):959-63.

Ulnar nerve entrapment at the elbow localized by short segment stimulation. Kanakamedala RV¹, Simons DG, Porter RW, Zucker RS.

- 15%/23%/28%
- 4. Exit from FCU

Muscle Nerve. 1992 Sep;15(9):1050-4.

Short segment incremental studies in the evaluation of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow.

Campbell WW¹, Pridgeon RM, Sahni KS.

Electrophysiologic Evaluation

- Electrodiagnosis can play several roles in UNE:
 - Document presence of mononeuropathy
 - Localize the lesion
 - Distinguish from plexopathy, radiculopathy, poly or MND
 - Confirm lesion prior to surgery and document recovery

Format: Abstract -

Muscle Nerve Suppl. 1999;8:S171-205.

Guidelines in electrodiagnostic medicine. Practice parameter for electrodiagnostic studies in ulnar neuropathy at the elbow.

American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, Campbell WW.

1999





2015

AANEM Practice Parameter



Sensory conduction to Digit V (std)



Motor conduction to ADM (std)





Motor conduction to FDI (alt)



Inching studies (alternative)

American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, Campbell WW. Guidelines in electrodiagnostic medicine. Practice parameter for electrodiagnostic studies in ulnar neuropathy at the elbow. *Muscle Nerve Suppl*. 1999;8:S171-205.

Synopsis of AANEM Practice Parameter:

- When using moderate flexion (70-90 from horizontal, 10cm distance and surface stim and recording the following suggest a focal lesion at the elbow:
 - Absolute NCV from AE to BE of less than 50 m/s
 - AE to BE segment greater than 10 m/s slower than the BE to Wrist segment.
 - A CMAP drop from BE to AE greater than 20%
 - Significant change in CMAP configuration AE to BE
 - Multiple internally consistent abnormalities

AAEM Practice Parameter (options):

- If NCV to ADM is inconclusive, the following may be of benefit:
 NCS recorded from FDI
 - -Inching study (stay tuned!!)
 - With Severe Wallerian degeneration, BE to W may be slow, making localization difficult. Might compare Axilla to AE to AE/BE. Option.
 - NCS to forearm muscles not generally useful (option)
 - Needle Exam (if done): FDI, ulnar forearm muscles. If abnormal, must test other non ulnar C8/MC/lower trunk

Short segment studies (Inching)

- Allows more precise localization
- With definitive CB, exact location often easy to find with movement and stim along the nerve looking for amp drop
- Can differentiate RTC from HUA



Omejec G, Podnar S. Proposal for electrodiagnostic evaluation of patients with suspected ulnar neuropathy at the elbow. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2016;127(4):1961-1967.

Ulnar Inching Study for UNE

- Short segment studies across the elbow
- Inching studies
 - 5 x 2 cm
 - -2 x 4 cm



Omejec G, Podnar S. Proposal for electrodiagnostic evaluation of patients with suspected ulnar neuropathy at the elbow. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2016;127(4):1961-1967.

So what study to use?

- 10 cm still common practice but poor Sn
- 5 x 2 cm considered gold standard
- 2 x 4 cm study promising and easy to use

BMC Neurology

() BioMed Central

Research article

Open Access

The impact of extended electrodiagnostic studies in Ulnar Neuropathy at the elbow Kari Todnem^{*1} Balf Peter Michler¹ Tony Fugen Wader¹ Morten End

Kari Todnem^{*1}, Ralf Peter Michler¹, Tony Eugen Wader¹, Morten Engstrøm¹ and Trond Sand^{1,2}

Inching studies

NORMATIVE VALUES FOR SHORT-SEGMENT NERVE CONDUCTION STUDIES AND ULTRASONOGRAPHY OF THE ULNAR NERVE AT THE ELBOW

GREGOR OMEJEC, PT, and SIMON PODNAR, MD, DSc Institute of Clinical Neurophysiology, Division of Neurology, University Medical Center, SI-1525, Ljubljana, Slovenia Accepted 24 June 2014

Clin Neurophysiol. 2015 Dec;126(12):2390-6. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2015.01.023. Epub 2015 Feb 14.

Precise localization of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow.

Omejec G¹, Podnar S².

Clin Neurophysiol. 2016 Apr;127(4):1961-7. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2016.01.011. Epub 2016 Jan 28.

Proposal for electrodiagnostic evaluation of patients with suspected ulnar neuropathy at the elbow.

Omejec G1, Podnar S2.

5 x 2cm inching study

- Record from ADM or FDI
- Wrist stimulation at 8cm
- 6 stimulation points around elbow, 2 cm segments
 - D4 (4 cm distal to ME)
 - D2 (2 cm distal to ME)
 - @ ME
 - P2, P4 and P6 (proximal to ME)



5 x 2cm study

ile	Edit	View	Acquis	ition	Results T	est Histo	ry He	lp													
Ţ	5	周		0) H 2	EBε	1	鬻	Ø	*	*=	0 10		0	130					
re	3		25-		15	200	in.		131	20				-		Result	s Table				
3	5mV	4			- 20 6	SM	×.			12		¢	1 2n	ns ¢⊳	-				L	Ulnar -	ADM
					~ ~												A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A6	A7 A8 A9			
			1	1												-		Rec. Site	Lat	Amp	SPAR
		1	1.			1 3	2+							· \//ric	- 1·	#	Sites		ms	mV	%
		-+	1			X	-							VVIIS		A1	Wrist	ADM	3.2	7.8	
					. /	- 14	<u> </u>	L.	+				-2	ms 25r	nA	A2	B.Elbow	ADM	5.7	7.7	
				1	1		1	4	3-2							A3] 6P1		8.1	8.1	
_			_		~			\rightarrow	kot.					- B.EI00	W 2	A4] 4D	ADM	5.7	7.5	
							1		1	1	~		°	- 2ms 75	mA	A5] 2D	ADM	6.0	8.3	
						1 /	2.		. `	-t-	3			* 68	1 3	A.6	ME	ADM	6.6	8.0	
_						+~~	\rightarrow			1	k			0 50		A7	2P	ADM	7.3	8.2	
					· /	*		5			\sim			2ms 5:	-mA	A8	4P	ADM	7.9	8.1	
				1			2	13						4	04 E	A.9	6P	ADM	8.1	8.3	
			_		~			$\langle \rangle$	· ·					-2me st	ma.			Diet	Vel	diat	
								1	\sim		~			21118-00		#	Segments	cm	m/s	ms	
					1/			2	3.	~~~~				• 2	D 5		Wrist - ADM	8			
					+		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	the second	×.					-2ms 80	ATTA		B.Elbow - Wrist	15	60.0	2.50	
					• /	/		2	· ' '								6P1 - B.Elbow	10	40.8	2.45	
					1/			1	3	~	20			M	E 6		ME - 4D	4	44.4	0.90	
						•			1.1						TTTA		4P - ME	4	30.8	1.30	
									2	~		~					2D - 4D	2	66.7	0.30	
					1	/			t,	3 +				2	P 7		ME - 2D	2	33.3	0.60	
					7		1		1	N.				2ms 41	mA		2P - ME	2	26.7	0.75	
						. /	/ ·			14	·						4P - 2P	2	36.4	0.55	
						i/				N.				. 4	P 8		1	2	00.4	0.00	
										Ň	N			2ms 41	mA						
											A.	~		1010		Test H	istory				

2 x 4cm

- Record from ADM or FDI
- Wrist stimulation at 8cm from ADM
- 3 stimulation points around elbow, 4 cm segments
 - D4 (4 cm distal to ME)
 - @ ME
 - P4 (4 cm proximal to ME)



2 x 4cm



Study Results (n=175)

- 5 x 2cm
 - Sn: 93%
 - Localization: 92%
 - Same results on ADM and FDI
 - NCV norm: 31
 m/s over 2cm

- Amp drop >12%

- 2 x 4 cm
 - Sn: 89% ADM
 - Sn: 85% FDI
 - Localization: 83%
 - NCV norm: 33 m/s over 4 cm
 - Amp drop > 12%

- 10 cm
 - Sn: 82% ADM
 - Sn: 78% FDI
 - Localization: 0%
 - NCV norm: > 41m/s over 10cm

Clin Neurophysiol. 2016 Apr;127(4):1961-7. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2016.01.011. Epub 2016 Jan 28.

Proposal for electrodiagnostic evaluation of patients with suspected ulnar neuropathy at the elbow.

Omejec G1, Podnar S2.

EDX Patient Approach for UNE

- Ulnar SNAP: Sn: 67% for low amp
- Motor studies:
 - Ulnar n. using FDI using 2x4cm technique
 - Expand if normal by adding a D2 and P2 stim point (hybrid 4 x 2cm)
 - Expand to ADM
- Needle EMG
 - FDI is most frequently involved
 - ADM
 - FCU commonly spared (or recovered)
 - Other C8/T1 muscles to rule out other diagnosis

"2x4cm Robust, sensitive and time efficient" Omejic



2 x 4cm Recommended

(4 cm norm:>33m/s) ♀ 5ms ♥



2x4cm (hybrid with P2/D2) (Norm over 2 cm: 31 m/s



5 x 2cm (Norm: 31 m/s over 2cm)



Pitfalls for Ulnar Studies

- Stimulation level (supra/sub max)
- Measurement errors
- Elbow position
- Recording muscle
- Length of segment
- BMI
- Temperature at elbow
- Axon loss ulnar neuropathy



Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North

America Volume 24, Issue 1, February 2013, Pages 49-66 International Actions Action and Action and Action Action and Action and Action of the strength of the strength of a functions Biological actions Biological act

Clinical Features and Electrodiagnosis of Ulnar Neuropathies Mark E. Landau MD ^a \otimes ^{III}, William W. Campbell MD, MSHA ^b

Landau ME, Campbell WW. Clinical features and electrodiagnosis of ulnar neuropathies. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. 2013;24(1):49-66.

Stimulation level

- Ensure Supramaximal stimulation
 - BE site is problematic due to depth of ulnar nerve. Turn it up!
- But don't overstimulate proximal segments!
- Use submax stims to localize the nerve path
- AE stim point angles sharply toward biceps
- Inspect auto markers
 - Reset/restim any non physiologic velocities (>70 m/s)

Ulnar to FDI: AE overstimulated



Ulnar to FDI: no overstim AE

Store	S						1			Results Table			
4	5mV ☆			*	<u>k</u>	•	- *	¢	5ms \$			R UI	nar - FDI
	• 2									A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A	6 A7 A8 A9 A10		
	Ā									# Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV
i i									18 A	A1 Wrist	FDI	3.7	9.3
	1									A2 BE	FDI	7.1	9.0
.	Ĵ,	3							Wrist 1	A3 AE	FDI	9.4	7.1
	· .	14/	· · ·		+	•	+		,5ms 22mA	A4 Mid Arm	FDI	10.6	7.0
		XΧ								A5 overstim at BE	FDI	8.8	8.2
L		1. 12							• •	A6 2cm distal ME	FDI		
K.		5							BE 2	A7 @Med Epi	FDI		
	*	/\	2		•	*		+	*5ms 47mA	# Seaments	Dist. cm	Vel m/s	d Lat. ms
			Xî.		÷				AE 3	Wrist - FDI	8		
		' /	1.						5ms 67mA	BE - Wrist	20	59.7	
٩L		.1/	-						Mid Arm_4	AE - BE	10	42.6	2.35
		1	1	/					5ms 65mA	Mid Arm - AE	8	66.7	1.20

Stores	3					-732			Result	s Table				
₽	5mV 公		2	•			· 🗘	2ms ⇔					L Ulnar -	FDI
			<u>,</u>						4	A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A6 A	7 A8 A9			
		. /	Ύ\					1440 B 4 4	#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %
				. (3 .	2			* Wrist 1	A1	Wrist	FDI	4.0	8.9	
				. \ _	h			2ms 42mA	A2	B.Elbow	FDI	7.3	5.8	
			4	` \2		2			A3	A. Elbow	FDI	9.7	5.1	
	_			· ·		, k		B.Elbow 2	A4	Arm	FDI	10.7	6.0	
			l			\sim		2ms 78mA	A5	arm	FDI			
	*	*	.+	· 1·	<i>.</i>	2 3		A. Elbow 3		Commente	Dist.	Vel	d Lat	
						\wedge	<u> </u>	2ms 33m A	#	Wriet EDI		m/s	ms	
					1.//		3	e: .e		B Elhow Wrist		60.7	2.25	
			-		+		.1	Arm 4		A Elbow - Wilst		42.0		
					R			2ms 64mA		A. Elbow - D.Elbow		42.0	105	
								- F		Arm - A. Elbow	- 8	76.2	1.05	
										ME - arm	1 1			

Elbow Position

- Elbow position
 - "Moderate elbow flexion, 70-90 deg from horizontal"
 - Nerve is redundant in extension
 - Taut or even subluxed in flexion
 - Checkles et al showed 20-30 m/s difference with elbow flex/ext
 - Key: Consistency



Recording Muscle: ADM vs FDI

- ADM vs FDI
 - Practice parameter: If routine studies inconclusive use FDI
- Literature ??
- Our lab:
 - Start with FDI
 - Motor fibers to FDI more likely to show UNE
 - Studies are not definitive on this
 - More likely to show conduction block (CB)
 - Useful gauge for deep palmar branch





				L Ulnar -	FDI
J.	AT AZ AJ A4 A5 A8	A7 A8 A9			
#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAF %
1	Wrist	FDI	4.0	8.9	ĩ
2	B.Elbow	FDI	7.3	5.8	0
3	A. Elbow	FDI	9.7	5.1	ľ.
4	Arm	FDI	10.7	6.0	1
5	arm	FDI			
F.	Segments	Dist. cm	Vel m/s	d Lat. ms	
	Wrist - FDI	8			
	B.Elbow - Wrist	21	62.7	3.35	
	A. Elbow - B.Elbow	10	42.6	2.35	
	Arm - A. Elbow	8	76.2	1.05	
	ME - arm				

Stor	BS					Results Table									
. ∿	5mV 4	° ∏	2	1,25		*	*	÷	2ms ¢				L	. Ulnar - /	ADM
÷		. 1	<u>↓</u>	1.						A	A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A8 A	A8 A9			
1		/		2						#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %
i L	+	1.		· X	2 .				์ Wrist 1	A1	Wrist	ADM	3.2	9.0	
		T •		1.	The state				2ms 32mA	A2	B.Elbow	ADM	6.4	10.3	
Hł -			1	/	λ_1^2					A3	A.Elbow	ADM	8.1	9.7	
η_				1 .	A	X			B.Elbow 2	A4	4 cm distal ME	ADM			
						\sim	1	~	<u>2ms 34m</u> A	A5	2 cm Distal ME	ADM	[
				1	/ .		1. 3	<i>[</i> .	* A 515 and 2	A6	ME	ADM		Ì	
₫~-							H	/	A.EIDOW 3	A7	2cm proximal ME	ADM			
ł(, Q	\ •	2ms- 25m A	A8	4cm proximal ME	ADM	[
								1		A9	6 cm proximal	ADM			
V								\sim	/ • •			Dist.	Vel	d Lat.	
12	12							2		#	Segments	cm	m/s	ms	
											Wrist - ADM	8			
											B.Elbow - Wrist	21	64.6	3.25	
											A.Elbow - B.Elbow	10	60.6	1.65	
Stores	5							Result	s Table						
----------	-------	------------	--	------	---------------	------------	-------------------------------------	--------	-----------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------		
₽	5mV 公		2		964 						j	L Ulnar -	FDI		
			<u>,</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A6 A	7 A8 A9					
		. /	í \					#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %		
			• •	3 2			* Wrist 1	A1	Wrist	FDI	4.0	8.9			
				1 to			2ms 42mA	A2	B.Elbow	FDI	7.3	5.8			
			1	Y	1 2			A3	A. Elbow	FDI	9.7	5.1			
_				· .			B.Elbow 2	A4	Arm	FDI	10.7	6.0			
					\rightarrow		<u>2</u> ms 78mA	A5	arm	FDI					
	±1	* .	.*	1.	/. '``	2 3 .	A. Elbow 3		Ormanta	Dist.	Vel	d Lat			
					. /	$+$ \sim	⁻ - 2ms 33 mA	#	Segments Wriet EDI	cm	m/s	ms			
				1		Z.	3		B Elbow - Wrist	21	62.7	2 35			
<u> </u>							Arm 4	5	A Elbow - B Elbow	10	12.1	2.35			
							2ms 64mA	3	Arm A Elbow		76.2	1.05			
											10.2	1.05			
									w⊏ - arm	1 1					

Ulnar: FDI electrode position Use R2 to get rid of + deflection



Kim, D. H. (2011). Ulnar Nerve Conduction Study of the First Dorsal Interosseous Muscle in Korean Subjects. *Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine*, *35*(5), 658–663.

Length of segment

- Focal nerve injuries typically occur over a 1 cm segment
- Long segment may mask pathology
- Shorter distances improve Sn.
- We recommend the 2 x 4cm segment method
- If you don't use that, at least:
 - Use a 10 cm across elbow length recommended to lessen measurement error (AAEM practice parameter)
 - NCV from AE to BE (D4) < 50 m/s abnormal (AAEM practice parameter)
 - More recently AANEM (Chen et al): < 43 m/s (10cm)
 - Omejec: < 41 m/s (10cm)

Chen S, Andary M, Buschbacher R, et al. Electrodiagnostic reference values for upper and lower limb nerve conduction studies in adult populations. *Muscle Nerve*. 2016;54(3):371-377.

BMI

- Associations between BMI and NCV have been shown for across elbow, but not forearm
- With inc BMI, distance measurement around elbow increases and dissociates from actual nerve distance
- Problematic in using comparison values of forearm segment to elbow segment in high BMI

Temperature

- Low skin temperature rarely affects forearm velocity, but significant across the elbow
- Consider heating elbow when there are no other clinical or Edx findings that support UNE
 - (and you are comparing elbow to forearm for your reference)
- Forearm to across elbow comparison
 - Practice parameter: 10 m/s difference
 - Chen 2016: 15 m/s, 23% amp change
 - Omejec: Don't use the forearm comparison. Too problematic

Waveform size/shape

- Reduction or a significant change in CMAP configuration between BE and AE is suggestive of UNE
 - Practice parameter:20%
 - Chen: no reference
 - Omejec: 12%



				L Ulnar -	FDI
12	A1 A2 A3 A4 A0 A0	A7 A8 A9	1		
¥.,	Siles	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAF %
1	Wrist	FDI	4.0	8.9	(
2	B Elbow	FDI	7.3	5.8	[
13	A. Elbow	FDI	9.7	5.1	
4	Arm	FDI	10.7	6.0	
15	arm	FDI			
#	Segments	Dist. cm	Vel m/s	d Lat. ms	
	Wrist - FDI	8			
	B.Elbow - Wrist	21	62.7	3.35	
	A. Elbow - B Elbow	10	42.6	2.35	
	Arm - A. Elbow	8	76.2	1.05	
	ME - arm				



Store	es								-		Results	a Table				
₽	5mV	. □	2	*			(*)	*	ب	2ms ⇔				L	Ulnar - /	ADM
ж:			\wedge							Ei a	A	1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A6 A7	A8 A9]		
0.15		. /	ſ	/	2						#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %
	·				X	•				ໍWrist 1່	A1	Wrist	ADM	3.2	9.0	
				. /	/ N	1		~~~		2ms 32mA	A2	B.Elbow	ADM	6.4	10.3	
ll i				1		λ_1^2					A3	A.Elbow	ADM	8.1	9.7	
<u>n</u> _				j/		\wedge	\mathcal{N}			B.Elbow 2	A4	4 cm distal ME	ADM			
					/	Ĺ	\sim		,	2ms 34m A	A5	2 cm Distal ME	ADM			
					1		· ``	. 3	6	*	A6	ME	ADM	[
<u>ا</u> ا-					Ļ/			N/	f -	A.Elbow 3	A7	2cm proximal ME	ADM			
					+			÷ K		2ms- 25m A	A8	4cm proximal ME	ADM	ĺ	Ì	
								Ì		/	A9	6 cm proximal	ADM	ĺ		
1										/ • •						
ľ									\sim		#	Seaments	Dist. cm	Vel m/s	d Lat.	
*												Wrist - ADM	8			
												B Elbow - Wrist	21	64.6	3.25	
1 .2												A Elbow - B Elbow	10	3.03	1.65	
														00.0	1.05	

Stores	5								Result	s Table				
	・ 5mV 公		2	*	*		÷	2ms ¢					L Ulnar -	FDI
÷		. 1	॑ <u>、</u> .					÷. 1	1	1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A8 A	7 A8 A9			
		. /	\mathbf{i}						#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %
į	*		· /3	· 2				' Wrist 1'	A1	Wrist	FDI	4.0	8.9	
			<u> </u>	\rightarrow			4	2ms 42mA	A2	B.Elbow	FDI	7.3	5.8	
					3				A3	A. Elbow	FDI	9.7	5.1	
_	- t				. 4			B.Elbow 2	A4	Arm	FDI	10.7	6.0	
					\rightarrow	<u> </u>		2ms 78mA	A5	arm	FDI			
	+	* *	+	1.	/.	2 3		A. Elbow 3			Dist.	Vel	d Lat	
			*	+		\wedge	<u> </u>	2ms 33 mA	#	Segments	cm	m/s	ms	
				4		Ì	2			Wrist - FDI	8			
				 	/		<u>, </u>	Arm 4		B.Elbow - Wrist	21	62.7	3.35	
5		· ~ ·					· 7	2ms 64mA		A. Elbow - B.Elbow	10	42.6	2.35	
								\sim		Arm - A. Elbow	8	76.2	1.05	
'								* *		ME - arm				

Ulnar Sensory Study

- Sensitive indicator of ulnar nerve function
- Commonly low amplitude or absent NAP with UNE
- Sn: 67% low snap, 73% with slow + low SNAP (Omejic)

stores	ę.						Result	s Table				
÷	20µV ↔					\$ 3ms ⇔						R Ulnar - Dig V
							_	1 A2 A3				
							#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
	+2 . [- -				1. S.	A1	Wrist	Digit V	3.5	9.9	
		j	 	 		Wrist 1		Ref.		≤4.0	≥15.0	
~~~~~		1			n in in	 	A2	BE	Digit V			
								Ref.				
							A3	AE	Digit V			
							#	Segments	Distance cm	Peak Diff ms	Vel. m/s	Temp. *C
								Wrist - Digit V				
								Ref.				
								BE - Wrist				Status Panel
						BE 2		Ref.				
_		<u>15</u>		 	10	3ms		AE - BE				

# Summary of Clinical Pearls

- 2 x 4cm technique from FDI is recommended. Follow up with hybrid 4 x 2cm if needed and/or ADM
  - 4cm: >33 m/s
  - 2cm: > 31 m/s
  - Add location to your report (RTC or HUA or...)
- Most lesions at RTC, P2-ME (likely focal demyelination)
  - Then HUA, ME-D2 (increased severity, axonal changes more likely)
- Look for low amplitude or absent ulnar sensory response with UNE
- Overstimulation will lead to false neg studies!
- Map nerve path with submax stimulation
- Elbow position: Be consistent!
- Observe waveforms for subtle shape changes
- Place reference electrode on Thumb when using FDI to get rid of + deflection
- Inspect auto markers
  - Reset/restim any non physiologic velocities (>70 m/s)

# **Case Studies**

# Case 1

 54 yo male with complaints of left hand numbness in the medial border of his hand for about 7 months. He was holding his cell phone and he felt a pop at this elbow with a burning sensation down his arm. Since that time he has had constant numbness in the small finger and splitting the ring finger. He denies neck pain and has no history of diabetes. He is referred for left UE EMG to rule out ulnar neuropathy.

#### **Median Sensory**



## Median Motor



# **Ulnar Sensory**

L.	^{&gt;} 20µV 🗘				¢	3ms ⇔					1	Ulnar - Dig V
							4	A1 A2 A3				
I						10/	#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
$ 1\rangle$			 	 		· vvrist 1	A1	Wrist	Digit V	NR	NR	
						3ms 23mA		Ref.		≤4.0	≥15.0	
	~						A2	BE	Digit V			
								Ref.				
							A3	AE	Digit V			
е.:						÷ .		Ref.				
•												-
							#	Seaments	Distance cm	Peak Diff ms	Vel. m/s	°C
10						+ •		Wrist - Digit V				
								Ref.				
1						+ •		BE - Wrist		NR		
~								Ref.				
						•		AE - BE				
								Ref.				

# L and R DUC



## Ulnar M. to FDI Note 10 cm segment is normal



## Ulnar M. to ADM Notice 10cm segment is slow



# Case 1: Impression

 Nerve conduction studies of the left upper extremity are consistent with axonal ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE) at the retroepicondylar groove. (RTC). Basis for axonal loss lesion is the absent sensory responses to digit V and also at the dorsal ulnar cutaneous. The ulnar motor conduction velocity is slow across the proximal elbow segment (28 m/s) but with normal amplitudes. Needle EMG shows no acute denervation but there is reduced recruitment in the FCU and FDI. Polyphasic units were noted in the FCU and FDI indicative of some level of recovery.

## Case 2: History

Xxx has complaints of right hand pain for several months. She notes continued loss of strength in the right hand and can't manipulate a zipper or press buttons on her car remote. She denies any numbness. She has hand intrinsic wasting in the right hand at FDI and ADM. She has no mechanism for injury. she had left CTR in 2004 due to tingling. She says this feels very different, and has no tingling, just pain "like a charlie horse". She has mild neck pain, with no radiation and has no history of diabetes. She is referred for EMG of the right UE for CTS

# Median Sensory

J- 2001/ A		- 9	-			1	2mc					R Med	lian - Index (14-8 cm)
√ 20μν ∿						1	21115 9		A1 A2	1 1 1	1	TX MOU	an - maex (14-0 cm)
							•	#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
								A1	Wrist	index	3.6	24.1	
									Ref.		≤3.8	≥15.0	
								A2	Palm	Index	1.8	18.0	
-	2								Ref				
_ 1/	N.						Wrist.1						
~~~~+^	13	~~~~	 •	•		•	'2ms 23mA'	#	Segments	Distance cm	Peak Diff ms	Vel. m/s	Temp. *C
									Wrist - index	14		50.0	
									Ref.				
*2									Palm - Index	7		56.0	Status Panel
									Ref				
+);							• •		Wrist - Palm		1.8		
													//
								Study	Exam			Test	History
- 4 5									R. Sensory NCS R. Sensory NCS	S : Median - S : Median -	Index (14-8 Radial (Th		Sensory NCS R Median In
							Palm 2	990	R. Motor NCS : R. Motor NCS : R. Motor NCS :	s : Uinar - D Median - AF Ulnar - FDI i	ig v PB nching	-0	□ Sensory NCS R Ulhar Dig □ Sensory NCS R Dorsal ulr □ Sensory NCS R Radial W
Vr.Ÿ		~	 +	•	,		2ms 23mA		R. Sensory NCS R. Needle EMG	S : Lateral a G : Pronator t	ntebrachial eres	a -0	Motor NCS R Median APE Motor NCS R Ulnar FDI ind
- 40							12 12	Monito	ť.				
								10	20µV:公	1		RM	ledian - Index (14-8 cm)

Case CS1-22

Median /Radial Sensory

Store	s							Result	s Table				
4	20µV ☆					4	2ms 🗘				R Med	ian - Rac	lial (Thumb)
*								1	A1 A2				
								#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
.*								A1	Radial	Thumb	2.5	10.4	
								A2	Ref.	Thumb	3.0	17.0	
									Ref.		5.0	17.0	
l							Radial 1						
π_{r}	+~ '\		 - <u>+</u>	~- <u>-</u>		 	2ms 14mA	#	Segments	Distance cm	Peak Diff ms	Vel. m/s	Temp. °C
*									Radial - Thumb	10		54.1	
									Ref.			Status Pa	nel
									Ref.				
									Median - Radial		0.5		AVO
4													
								Study B	Exam		Test	History	
÷									R. Sensory NCS : Media	n - Index (14-8		Sensory	NCS R Median Index
Å.	. 2								R. Sensory NCS : Ulnar -	Dig V		Sensory	NCS R Ulnar Dig V
	1	2					Median 2	R. Motor NCS : Median - A R. Motor NCS : Ulnar - FD		Ol inching		Sensory	NCS R Radial Wrist
Я		Ŷ	 ~	~- <u>`</u>	<u> </u>	 ~~~	2ms 16mA		R. Needle EMG : Pronate	i antebrachial or teres	c C	Motor NO	S R Median APB S R Ulnar FDI inchin
*								Monito	· · ·				

Case CS1-22

Ulnar Sensory



Case CS1-22

Radial Sensory

Sto	res								Results Table			
R	ን 20µV ↔					8.0	` (>	2ms ⇔		R	Radial -	Wrist
									Rec. Site # Sites	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
m									A1 Forearm Wrist	2.4	25.0	
									Ref.	≤2.8	≥11.0	
HÌ												
ľ I												
li I									Distance	Peak Diff	Vel.	Temp.
11									Forearm - Wrist 10	1115	57.1	
									Ref.	i — i		
$\ \cdot\ $	•							Faraar 4			Status Par	nel
	2							Forearm 1				
•	\ ·/\		 	~~~~	$\sim \sim \sim$			2ms 23mA			AMP	(AVG) (ACG) (
		~~										
*	ΨΨ								Study Evam	Tect	lictory	
									Guudy Exam	Testh	natory	

Case CS1-22

R and L DUC



Case CS1-22

Ulnar Motor: FDI Needed 4x2cm to localize

Construction Construction <td< th=""><th>FDI inchin 2 </th></td<>	FDI inchin 2
1 2 3 A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A5 A1 A6 A7 A6 A5 A1 Wrist 1 1 2 3 Mist FDI 4.8 0.9 A2 4D FDI 7.8 0.9 1 2 3 ME 3 ME FDI 7.8 0.9 42 4D FDI 9.7 0.8 8 0.9 A4 4P FDI 10.1 0.9 A5 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 A5 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 1 2 3 ME 3 ME A5 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 1 4 4P FDI 11.5 0.7 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3 0.9 3	£
2 3 Wrist 1 Rec. Site Lat Amp SPAR 1 2 3 1 Wrist 1 FDI 4.8 0.9 1 1 2 3 4D 2 AD 7 FDI 7.8 0.9 1 1 2 3 ME FDI 7.8 0.9 1 4D 2 AD 7 FDI 8.8 0.9 1 1 0.9 1 1 2 3 ME 3 5ms 65mA A5 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 1 1 2 3 4P 4 5ms 18mA A8 2P FDI 9.3 0.9 1 2 3 4P 4 5ms 24mA 4P 4 5ms 18mA 4D - Wrist Ms 3.00 1 2 3 6P 5 5ms 18mA 5ms 3.00 100 100	2
1 2 3 A1 Wrist FDI 4.8 0.9 1 2 3 4D FDI 7.8 0.9 4D 4D FDI 7.8 0.9 4D 4D FDI 8.8 0.9 4D 4D FDI 9.7 0.8 4D 5ms 65ma A5 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 46 Arm FDI 11.5 0.7 A6 Ar 2D FDI 8.5 0.6 5ms 18mA 4P 4 5ms 24mA # Segments Dist. Vel dLat. 1 2 5ms 18mA 6P 5 5ms 18mA 3.00 3.00	_
5ms 70mA A2 4D FDI 7.8 0.9 4D 2 A3 ME FDI 8.8 0.9 4D 2 A4 4P FDI 9.7 0.8 A4 4P FDI 10.1 0.9 A5 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 A5 6P FDI 11.5 0.7 A6 Arm FDI 8.5 0.6 A7 2D FDI 9.3 0.9 4P 4 5ms 24mA 4P FDI 9.3 0.9 4P 4 5ms 18mA 4P 4 5ms 18mA 4B 7ms ms 4D 4 5ms 18mA 4D - Wrist 3.00 0.9 0.9	_
$\begin{array}{c} 4D \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5$	_
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
5ms 65mA As 6P FDI 10.1 0.9 1 2 3 ME 3 AF FDI 11.5 0.7 1 2 3 5ms 18mA AF 2D FDI 8.5 0.6 1 2 3 4P 4 5ms 24mA # Segments Cm m/s ms 1 2 3 6P 5 5ms 18mA # Segments Cm m/s ms 1 2 3 6P 5 5ms 18mA ME 0.00 0.9	_
1 1 2 3 ME 3 A6 Arm FDI 11.5 0.7 1 2 3 5ms 18mA A7 2D FDI 8.5 0.6 1 2 3 4P 4 4P 4 5ms 24mA # Segments Cm m/s ms 1 2 3 6P 5 5ms 18mA ME 4D ME 5 0.00	_
ME 3 A7 2D FDI 8.5 0.6 1 2 3 4P 4 1 2 3 4P 4 1 2 5ms 24mA 1 2 5ms 24mA 1 5ms 18mA 1 6P 5 5ms 18mA 6P 5 5ms 18mA 6P 5 4D - Wrist 3.00	_
1 2 3 4P 4 1 2 3 6P 5 1 2 3 6P 5 5ms 18mA 5ms 24mA # 1 2 3 1 5ms 18mA 1 5ms 18mA 1 5ms 18mA 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3	_
1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 1 3 1 5ms 24mA # Segments Wrist - FDI 8 4D - Wrist 3.00 ME 4D - Wrist 5ms 18mA ME	
5ms 24mA 5ms 24mA 1 2 3 6P 5 5ms 18mA # 5ms 18mA ME 4D	
1 2 3 6P 5 Wrist - FDI 8 1 5ms 18mA 4D - Wrist 3.00 3.00	
5ms 18mA 4D - Wrist 3.00	
ME 4D 4 400	
WE-40 4 40.0 1.00	
Arm 6 4P - ME 4 47.1 0.85	
5ms 26mA 6P - 4D 10 43.5 2 30	
Arm - 6P 10 74.1	
2D 7 2D - 4D 2 30.8 0.65	
5ms 23mA ME - 2D 2 57.1 0.35	
2P 8 2P - ME 2 44.4 0.45	
5ms 21mA	



Ulnar Motor FDI Adjusted Arm cursor

4	5mV 心				- 392	11	hiv.	\ \	5ms ¢					RUI	nar - FDI in	nching
										1	A1 A2 A3 A4	A5 A5 A7	A8 A9 A10			
	2									#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %	
	1 J								' Wrist 1	A1	Wrist	FDI	4.8	0.9		
l									5ms 70mA	A2	J4D	FDI	7.8	0.9		
		2 3								A3	ME	FDI	8.8	0.9		
		-h				_			4D 2	A4	4P	FDI	9.7	0.8		
									5ms 65mA	A5	6P	FDI	10.1	0.9		
		$1 \cdot \frac{2}{1} 3$							ME 2	A6	Arm	FDI	11.8	0.6		
		+^+-		_					Eme 10mA	A7] 2D	FDI	8.5	0.6		
÷									- Sins IamA	A8	2P	FDI	9.3	0.9		
		$1 \stackrel{2}{=} 3$							4P 4							
-	11			- 2 N				6	5ms 24mA	#	Seaments	Dist.	Vel m/s	d Lat.		
		, 2									Wrist - FDI	8		1110		
		i	3 					·	6P 5		4D - Wrist	19	63.3	3 00		
				*					5ms 18mA		ME - 4D	4	40.0	1.00		
			23						Arma C		4P - ME	4	47.1	0.85		
			-+			-			Ann 6		6P - 4D	10	43.5	2.30		
									5ms 26mA		Arm - 6P	10	58.8	1.70		
		1 2 3		42					· 2D.7		2D - 4D	2	30.8	0.65		
_		╆╪┾┯┯							5mc 22mA		ME - 2D	2	57.1	0.35		
÷									20115 2011A		2P - ME	2	44.4	0.45		
									2P 8							
			N 5	8					5ms 21mA	Test H	istory					

Case CS1-22

Ulnar Motor to ADM 2 x 4cm (note long segment nl)



Case CS1-22

Case 2: Impression

 Nerve conduction studies of the right upper extremity are consistent with severe ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE) which appears to be at the humeroulnar arcade (cubital tunnel). The right ulnar sensory amplitude is reduced below normal limits. The ulnar motor conduction velocity across the elbow is slow in the segment just proximal to the cubital tunnel. The ulnar motor amplitude is severely reduced recording from the FDI and about 75% of normal recording from the ADM. The right DUC response also shows mildly reduced amplitude in comparison to the left side. Needle EMG shows acute denervation in the flexor carpi ulnaris, ADM and first dorsal interosseous, with poor recruitment, polyphasic motor units and severely reduced interference patterns.

Case 3

 80 yo male. s/p CTR release many years ago, now with new complaint of hand weakness with numbness/tingling in all digits. Previous study was available from before CTR and showed a very low amplitude median motor amplitude and absent median sensory response. Study also suggested a mild, non localized ulnar neuropathy.

Median Sensory to Index



Median Motor



Ulnar Sensory

S	tores		- 25						Kesuit	s lable				
ć	⊕ 20µV ↔			- 340			\$	3ms ¢					F	R Ulnar - Dig V
	۰.							+ 4		A1 A2 A3				
	<u> </u>								#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
								•	A1	Wrist	Digit V	NR	NR	
										Ref.		≤4.0	≥15.0	
2								Wrist 1	A2	BE	Digit V			
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			•	 ,	~~~~	3ms 38mA		Ref.				
								enie ceni, t	A3	AE	Digit V			
ļ	+								-	Ref.				
l	+							• *						
									#	Segments	Distance cm	Peak Diff ms	Vel. m/s	Temp. °C
ł								*		Wrist - Digit V				
										Ref.				
l								• •		BE - Wrist		NR		
I										Ref.				
i								* · · · ·		AE - BE	<u> </u>			
								2.5		Ref		<u> </u>		
ľ								*		1	1			

# R DUC



# ADM Study: Not localizing, but shows slowing and low amp



# FDI Study: ME-4D slow

Store	Stores											Results Table						
_∆	ີ≻ 5mV 🏚 🔲 🗆 🗖 🕺 🚺 🚺 🚺 🚺 🗘 5ms ຊ								R Ulnar - FDI inching									
	· .										A1 A2 A3 A4	A5 A6 A7	A8 A9 A10					
		1								#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %			
	1.	3 2							' Wrist 1	A1	Wrist	FDI	4.3	8.2				
ſ	—	Sh/							5ms 59mA	A2	4D	FDI	8.3	6.5				
Ĩ.		$_{1}/\gamma_{1}$								A3	ME	FDI	9.5	6.4				
		. ²∖ĭ					<u></u>		4D 2	A4	4P	FDI	10.4	5.8				
		''	$\bigvee$						5ms 29mA	A5	6P	FDI	10.9	7.5				
		1./	3							A6	Arm	FDI						
		2	X						ME 3	A7	2D	FDI						
<u>.</u>		· /	$\sim$	•					5ms 39mA	A8	2P	FDI						
	*	1 2 -1 1	2 3			*			4P 4									
		_' /'	$\setminus \bigcirc$	~	-				5ms 47mA		Sogmonto	Dist.	Vel	d Lat.				
-		+ 1 /	13						* eD 5	#	Wrist - EDI		11//5	IIIS				
		/	X								4D - Wrist			3.05				
•			$\cdot \sim$						5ms 51mA		ME - 4D		32.0	1.95				
												- 4	47.1	0.95				
• :												- 10	41.1	2.60				
											Arm 6D	- 10	38.0	2.00				
											Ann - or	10						
# Case 3: Impression

 Nerve conduction studies of the right upper extremity are consistent with axonal loss ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE), which appears to be at the humeroulnar arcade (HUA, cubital tunnel). The right ulnar sensory response is not recordable and the ulnar motor conduction velocity is moderately slow across the elbow segment from ME to HUA with amplitude changes noted. Needle EMG shows no acute denervation, but marked chronic changes (polyphasic motor units, reduced recruitment) in the flexor carpi ulnaris, ADM and first dorsal interosseous.

### Case 4:

 Xxx has complaints of bilateral hand tingling, now left worse than right. She notes tingling in the radial digits of her left hand and the medial digits of her right. She has been wearing a splint on the left hand with good relief. She has noticed these symptoms since October of this year. She has mild complaints of occasional neck pain, but non radiating. She has no history of diabetes. She is referred today for bilateral UE emg studies for CTS vs UNE.

# R Ulnar sensory

SIU	ies						1994 - 1995 - 1995				Results laule						
र	ን 20	µ. V √u	7						\$	3ms ¢				F	R Ulnar - D	Dig V	
											A1 A2 A						
											# Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %		
											A1 Wrist	Digit V	NR	NR	NR		
	~~	_							 ~	Wrist 1	Ref.		≤4.0	≥15.0			
	[	- /-	~~~	·						3ms 36mA	A2 BE	Digit V					
											Ref.						
11											A3 AE	Digit V					
·\										11. T	Ref.						
		52 C		1	- 24	-		4	 - 48	-a) //							

# R Ulnar Motor: FDI

#### 2 x 4cm



#### R ADM showing ME-D2



# Impression (R side)

 Nerve conduction studies of the right upper extremity are consistent with severe axonal ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE) localized to the humeroulnar arcade (cubital tunnel). Sensory conduction to digit V was not recordable. The ulnar motor conduction velocity is markedly slow across the elbow segment with low and dispersed amplitude (FDI). Needle EMG shows acute denervation and very poor recruitment in the FCU, ADM and FDI. Polyphasic units were noted in the FCU and FDI.

### L Ulnar Sensory



# Left side: FDI 2 x 4cm



				LUI	nar - FDI inching
1	A1 A2 A3 A4	A5 A8 A7	A8 A9 A10		
#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %
A1	Wrist	FDI	4.5	7.8	100
A2	4D	FDI	7.8	7.0	100
43	ME	FDI	8.7	7.7	100
44	4P	FDI	9.9	6.9	100
45	6P	FDI	10.2	7.0	100
١6	Arm	FDI			
47	2D	FDI			
A8	2P	FDI			
#	Segments	Dist. cm	Vel m/s	d Lat. ms	
	Wrist - FDI	8			
	4D - Wrist	19	57.6	3.30	
	ME - 4D	4	44.4	0.90	
	4P - ME	4	33.3	1.20	
	6P - 4D	10	41.7	2.40	
	Arm - 6P	10			
	2D - 4D	2			
	111- 00				

#### Left side: ADM 2 x 4cm



# Impression (left side)

 Conduction studies of the left ulnar nerve are consistent with moderate UNE localized to the retroepicondylar groove.
Conduction velocity across the elbow was marginally slow and ulnar sensory amplitudes marginally reduced. Needle EMG shows unstable muscle membrane in the ADM/FDI/FCU, with unsustained trains of positive waves and reduced recruitment patterns.

### One last sample

 has complaints of bilateral hand pain with numbness for about one year. He has trouble sleeping and has severe pain in his shoulders, which he relates to his hand symptoms. He denies neck pain. He is a 15 year diabetic and is now taking insulin. He does not know his a1c. He can bring symptoms on by lying on his back or on his shoulder. He feels his whole hand goes to sleep and symptoms are worse on the right. He does not have any aggravating symptoms during the day. He does feel that he has lost some feeling and that is constant. He does not feel he is weaker. He works as a carpenter.

# Median Sensory

St	ores									Result	s Table					TINALIZE BAY ODEN VISITS	
	Շ 20u	νŵ						÷	2ms ⇔					R Med	ian - Index	x (14-8 cm)	
,									• •	2	A1 A2						
	ł									#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %		
•									(*) A	A1	Wrist	index	NR	NR	NR		
									• •	42	Ref.	Indax	≤3.8	≥15.0			
	}										Ref.	Index					
	{								oto X								
	/								243 A								
100	1	ł							•			Distance	Dook Diff	Val	Tomp		
		1								#	Segments	cm	ms	m/s	°C		
ĺ		. /									Wrist - index	14		NR			
*		. \	<.'						\//riet 1		Palm - Index	7					
*									2mc 31mA		Ref.						
T				 ~~~	~~~	$\sim$	$\sim\sim$	~~~~			Wrist - Palm		NR				
									•		rter.	J	≤1.9				

#### Median to APB



#### 16: Radial sensory



# 16: Ulnar Sensory

₽	20µV ↔						4	3ms ¢					I	R Ulnar - Dig
								+ +		A1 A2 A3				
									#	Sites	Rec. Site	Peak Lat ms	PP Amp µV	SPAR %
,								* 1	A1	Wrist	Digit V	7.0	5.6	30.5
J					4					Ref.		≤4.0	≥15.0	
									A2	Wrist	Digit V	7.0	5.6	30.5
								* *		Ref.				
		1 ² ↓	3	 		~	~~~~~	Wrist 1						
		1	4					3ms 25mA						
*										Occurrente	Distance	Peak Diff	Vel.	Temp.
*									#	Wrist - Digit V	cm	ms	26.7	
										Ref.				

# 16: HUA: FDI with 5x2cm study

Stores								Result	s Table					
∿ 5mV ঐ						· 🗇	5ms ¢					R UI	nar - FDI ir	ching
									A1 A2 A3 A4	A5 A8 A7	A8 A9 A10			
2								#	Sites	Rec. Site	Lat ms	Amp mV	SPAR %	
· · ^								A1	Wrist	FDI	3.9	7.7	95.8	
/								A2	BE	FDI	7.6	7.4	100	
	$\nabla \int $			 			Wrist 1	A3	AE	FDI	10.1	6.0	100	
	17 33	· ·					5ms 78mA	A4	Mid Arm	FDI	11.7	6.4		
1	$\mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}$	4		 			BE 2	A5	4D	FDI	7.5	7.4		
	1/	123					5ms 78mA	A6	2D	FDI	8.3	6.7		
		A		 			AE 3	A7	ME	FDI	8.8	6.7		
· ·	×1/	1.					5ms 3/mA	A8	2P	FDI	9.1	5.8		
	-+	$\prec$		 	8	~	5ma 64m4	A9	4P	FDI	9.5	7.1		
-	1 / . 13	• (	1.				5ms 64mA 4D 5			Diet	Vol	diat		
v	/2	$\sim$		 			5ms 78mA	#	Segments	cm	m/s	ms		
	1/ 水	$\lor$					2D 6		Wrist - FDI	8				
v	+ / ]	3/		 			5ms 31mA		BE - Wrist	20	54.1	3.70		
	12 3						* ME 7		ME - 4D	4	30.8	1.30		
-		3/					5ms 28mA		4P - ME	4	53.3	0.75		
1		$\mathbb{N}^{\sim}$					· 2P 8		2D - 4D	2	22.2	0.90		
	1. / 2	$\sum$					5ms 18mA	9	ME - 2D	2	50.0	0.40		
		1X					4P 9		2P - ME	2	66.7	0.30		
	't /	13.		 +			5ms 27mA		4P - 2P	2	44.4	0.45		
		K		 	~		6P 10		6P - 4P	2	50.0	0.40		
e 18	*	$\sim$	·				5ms 49mA							

# Summary of Clinical Pearls

- 2 x 4cm technique from FDI is recommended. Follow up with hybrid 4 x 2cm if needed and/or ADM
  - 4cm: >33 m/s
  - 2cm: > 31 m/s
  - Add location to your report (RTC or HUA or...)
- Most lesions at RTC, P2-ME (likely focal demyelination)
  - Then HUA, ME-D2 (increased severity, axonal changes more likely)
- Look for low amplitude or absent ulnar sensory response with UNE
- Overstimulation will lead to false neg studies!
- Map nerve path with submax stimulation
- Elbow position: Be consistent!
- Observe waveforms for subtle shape changes
- Place reference electrode on Thumb when using FDI to get rid of + deflection
- Inspect auto markers
  - Reset/restim any non physiologic velocities (>70 m/s)

# Bibliography

Go to: www.Zotero.org Search: Ulnar Neuropathy CSM 2018

You can download the citation list to your own Zotero library.

Or follow this link: https://www.zotero.org/groups/2074454/ulnar_neuropathy_csm_2018